

University Resources and Planning Committee Meeting Notes
April 6, 2012

Attendees:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Saeed Mortazavi	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Burt Nordstrom	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	David Rowe
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Robert Snyder	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frank Whitlatch	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Carol Terry
<input type="checkbox"/>	Vacant Faculty, CAHSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gina Pierce	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amber Blakeslee
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bruce O’Gara, CNRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gay Hylton	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Volga Koval
<input type="checkbox"/>	Vacant Faculty, CPS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paul Yzaguirre	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Randi Darnall Burke
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	John Lee	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Brandon Durr	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Peg Blake	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Guy Aronoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Agenda:

1. Discuss draft URPC charge revisions.
 - a. Handout “University Senate Bylaw Revisions.xlsx”

This meeting was focused on reviewing the draft University Senate Bylaws revisions. The revised committee charge will go back to the Senate for review. If the Senate does not like the committee interpretation, the Senate may need to give more detail on expected committee functions. The “University Senate Bylaw Revisions” handout provides the current URPC Bylaws language, followed by meeting notes regarding the committee’s interpretation of each URPC function and then the last column revises the URPC Bylaws language based on the initial discussion at the February 22 meeting.

Bylaws updates include:

- 2.431 - No changes
- 2.432
 - “Re-allocation of existing resources between divisions” should be updated to “cross-divisional budget allocations” since this committee wants to review budget augments among divisions. Such allocations occur when no new funding is involved and instead one division is cut and another division receives a budget augment. This committee will not review changes between divisions due to reorganizations of personnel, programs and services.
 - No change to language, but clarification that long term capital planning involves the URPC being the consulted body with respect to big project, big picture capital planning, including the review of projects involved in the 5 year planning cycle. While the URPC does not specifically vote on how to spend the funding that is received from the state for a new building, this committee will discuss new ongoing costs associated with the new building. The University Senate may want to look at the charge of current Space and Facilities Committee that is being replaced by this committee to determine if the Senate wants this committee to replace that committee and also if they want to incorporate more capital planning language into the URPC’s charge.
- 2.433
 - No change to language, but clarification that the review and evaluation of resource allocations should be part of the annual budget cycle and not independent of that cycle.

University Resources and Planning Committee Meeting Notes

April 6, 2012

- Questions arose regarding how to evaluate resource allocations. Should this committee be involved in defining new metrics, or processes, that help increase the accountability of cross-divisional budget allocations?
- 2.434
 - Like 2.432, update language to “cross-divisional budget allocations” instead of “re-allocation of existing resources between divisions”.
- 2.435 – No changes

- 2.436
 - No change to language, but committee wants to see if goals are being achieved.
 - Questions arose over which reports should be reviewed, whether this committee should develop reports and/or categories to include on reports, what criteria should be used and whether this or another body should develop criteria, and more specifically, how can we hold ourselves accountable if we continue to have poor retention and graduation rates.
 - The Maddox Report and CSU Success Comparison Data will be sent out to URPC members for reference.

The committee will continue to discuss draft URPC charge revisions at the next meeting, which is scheduled for April 20th, from 2-3, in Corbett Conference Room.