

University Resources and Planning Committee Meeting Notes
April 20, 2012

Attendees:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Saeed Mortazavi	<input type="checkbox"/>	Burt Nordstrom	<input type="checkbox"/>	David Rowe
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Robert Snyder	<input type="checkbox"/>	Frank Whitlatch	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Carol Terry
<input type="checkbox"/>	Vacant Faculty, CAHSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gina Pierce	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amber Blakeslee
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bruce O’Gara, CNRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gay Hylton	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Volga Koval
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mark Rizzardi, CNRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paul Yzaguirre	<input type="checkbox"/>	Randi Darnall Burke
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	John Lee	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brandon Durr	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Teal Sexton
<input type="checkbox"/>	Peg Blake	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Guy Aronoff	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Agenda:

1. URPC membership discussion

According to the URPC Bylaws, URPC membership includes three faculty members, with one faculty appointed from each college. The question arose regarding whether it matters if two faculty members can be appointed from one college rather than one from each college, or even a faculty member from the library instead of one of the colleges. Since the URPC is looking at the division level or broader and not college specific detail, committee members discussed that it should not make a difference what college a faculty member is appointed from. The committee decided to leave the appointment decisions to the discretion of the Appointments and Elections Committee.

2. Discuss draft URPC charge revisions.

a. Handout “University Senate Bylaw Revisions – v2.xlsx”

This meeting focused on finishing the review and discussion regarding the draft University Senate Bylaws revisions. The revised committee charge will go back to the Senate for review. If the Senate does not like the committee interpretation, the Senate may need to give more detail on expected committee functions.

The “University Senate Bylaw Revisions” handout provides the current URPC Bylaws language, followed by meeting notes regarding the committee’s interpretation of each URPC function and then the last column revises the URPC Bylaws language based on the initial discussion at the February 22 meeting, with red font to identify changes made to the revised URPC Bylaws language based on the April 6 meeting.

Bylaws updates include:

- 2.437 - It was decided that this function will be folded into 2.434 and removed as a separate function. Comments included:
 - It is not realistic to discuss how reductions are to be distributed prior to division leaders developing reduction plans.
 - Prior to discussing layoffs, HSU must first notify the CSU of potential layoffs, who then notifies the Union(s) involved.
 - In the event of budget reductions, each division should present budget reduction plans to the committee so that committee members have an idea of how each division will be impacted.
- 2.438

University Resources and Planning Committee Meeting Notes
April 20, 2012

- Add “consistent with University mission” after student success.

URPC members continue to have varying opinions on how the budget should be developed, a topic also discussed at the [March 23, 2012 meeting](#). Since the URPC Bylaws do not specify how the budget should be developed, the committee will need to develop a budget process once the URPC Bylaws revisions have been finalized and reviewed by the Senate. Comments included:

- The goal of the budget process is to have a fair process in place for future budgets.
- We want the process to be simple and transparent.
- The URPC is an advisory committee, who cannot veto, and should maintain a broad perspective.
- The committee does not want to micromanage budgets, but needs enough information to be able to make informed decisions.
- Hard to develop a budget from the bottom up when there is no strategic structural matrix in place to evaluate whether or not departments are focused on campus priorities.

3. Budget Update

According to Governor Brown, revenue is about \$1 billion behind expectations and the \$200 million trigger cut to CSU if the ballot measures do not pass in November may get bigger.

The University Budget Office will draft revised URPC Bylaws to be submitted to the University Senate for review. No upcoming URPC meetings are currently scheduled and the next meeting will likely take place after the University Senate has reviewed the revised URPC Bylaws.