

# UNIVERSITY RESOURCES & PLANNING COMMITTEE

## February 15, 2019

### 1:00 PM – 2:30 PM (CCR)

---

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alex Enyedi, Co-Chair (non-voting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Douglas Dawes, VP (voting)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mark Rizzardi, Co-Chair (tie break vote)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Craig Wruck, VP (voting)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jazmin Sandoval, Student (voting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amber Blakeslee, Advisor (non-voting)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Alexis Brown, Student (voting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Kate Stroup, Advisor (non-voting)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Kerri Malloy, Faculty (voting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Holly Martel, Advisor (non-voting)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Tyler Stumpf, Faculty (voting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frank Whitlatch, Advisor (non-voting)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jim Woglom, Faculty (voting)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lisa Castellino
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cyril Oberlander, Dean (voting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jenessa Lund
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Anthony Baker, Staff (voting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Brigid Wall
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sarah Bacio, Staff (voting)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	W Wayne Brumfield, VP (voting)	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Other Attendees: Melinda Haynes-Swank (ITS project office), Jeremiah Finley (student)

## Minutes:

- Chair Opening Comments
- Approve Meeting Minutes
  - Approved to post to URPC website
- Information Items:
  - CSU Campus Comparison – State Funding per FTES table and chart
    - For 2018-19, HSU received \$11,248 per Resident FTES target (7,603 FTES) which is the 4<sup>th</sup> highest rate in the system. The system average is \$8,409 per resident FTES making HSU funded 34% more per student than the average.
    - In 2017-18, HSU's actual Resident FTES was 7,204 (5% below target) bringing the actual funding per Resident FTES to \$11,871 which is 50% above the system average.
  - Q2 Operating Fund Reports
    - Revenues: Given actual enrollment is lower than anticipated in the 2018-19 budget, tuition revenue is expected to be under budget by close to \$700k - \$800k. In particular, revenue is significantly under on non-resident tuition revenue given the decline in international and non-resident students. The University annually budgets a contingency (unallocated expenditure budget line item) for unforeseen activities such as this, so the University will not need to make mid-year reductions and can incorporate lower anticipated revenue into future budget plans.
    - Expenditures: Year-to-date spending is on track. We have spent less % of budget than last year. CPS and CNRS are below the 50% percent of budget spent threshold, a positive change from recent years. Facilities Management looks a little high due to timing only, as we received \$4.2 million in system funding earmarked for the seismic projects that needed to be recorded in the operating fund before being transferred to the appropriate project fund.

- Enrollment Update
  - Average unit load increased in the spring semester.
  - [Applications are down](#) – as of a month ago, there is about a 9% drop in freshmen and transfer applications.
  - For current budget planning:
    - 6,320 for resident students
    - 220 WUE
    - Non-resident and International numbers will need to be dialed back
    - Annual headcount to be about 7,000
    - Budget will decrease about 7%, Actuals anticipated to decrease by about 5% (2% gape between 2018-19 Budgeted and Actual enrollment)
- Space Process Implementation
  - USFAC is a sub-committee of URPC and is governed by the University Space Management Policy P15-03. Currently working through the Space Policy Implementation Plan.
  - USFAC will be sending out a call for proposals for the vacant space created by the elimination of the football program. The process will follow a similar structure to the IAPB designed process.
  - Robust committee discussion on the call, decision making authority and where that authority lies, prioritization, and funding.
- Remaining agenda items tabled until the next meeting due to time