

UNIVERSITY RESOURCES & PLANNING COMMITTEE

Notes for November 15, 2013

Attendees:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Erick Eschker	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Joyce Lopes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Robert Snyder	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Craig Wruck
<input type="checkbox"/>	Rae Robison, CAHSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gina Pierce
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bruce O'Gara, CNRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gay Hylton
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mark Rizzardi, CNRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Volga Koval
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	John Lee	<input type="checkbox"/>	Carol Lorentzen
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Peg Blake	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Randi Darnall Burke

Agenda:

- 1. Review the previous URPC meeting materials:** Discussion and Q&A regarding enrollment growth funding model. Outstanding question remains – will there be enough funding available for other base and one-time initiatives after applying the enrollment growth funding model in 2014-15.
- 2. Review variance reports for Operating Fund activity:** first quarter, prior year and multi-year: Feedback on the reports included a request to note the following formula on the report itself:
$$\text{Beginning Fund Balance} + \text{Total Revenue} + \text{Total Expenditures} = \text{Ending Fund Balance}$$

Any calculation formulas will be included on future reports presented at URPC.
- 3. Discuss potential enrollment targets:** The enrollment targets will need to be reviewed against housing plans to ensure that future budget targets do not exceed the number of beds available for new freshmen. We cannot assume that growth can continue at its current rate if we do not have the available housing.
- 4. Discuss budget planning assumptions:** A question arose about the level of funding the CSU will use to establish future allocations for retirement rate increases. The University Budget Office will confirm with the Chancellor's Office that the 2013-14 actual payroll level will be the maximum salary level eligible for system-wide rate change funding in future years.

- 5. Review and discuss criteria for evaluating resource requests:** This item will be addressed at the next URPC meeting. Two different draft options were given to committee members to review and provide feedback at the next meeting.

- 6. Consideration of a technical sub-group/committee:** Due to the complexity of the models and materials created for this committee, a technical sub-group may be formed to go over documents, assumptions and formulas prior to each meeting. Gina Pierce volunteered for the sub-group. No specific action has been taken.