UNIVERSITY RESOURCES & PLANNING COMMITTEE

February 11, 2022 1:30 PM - 3:00 PM

In-person meeting location: CCR

2021-22 URPC:

In-person	Virtual		In-person	Virtual	
\boxtimes		Jenn Capps, Co-Chair (non-voting)			Jeremiah Finley, Student (voting)
\boxtimes		James Woglom, Co-Chair (tie break vote)			Vacant, Student (voting)
	\boxtimes	Jim Graham, Faculty (voting)	\boxtimes		Amber Blakeslee, Advisor (non-voting)
\boxtimes		Rouhollah Aghasaleh, Faculty (voting)		\boxtimes	Kevin Furtado, Advisor (non-voting)
	\boxtimes	Nicole Jean Hill, Faculty (voting)			Jamie Rich, Advisor (non-voting)
	\boxtimes	Anthony Baker, Staff (voting)		\boxtimes	Simone Aloisio, Advisor (non-voting)
	\boxtimes	Arlene Wynn, Staff (voting)		\boxtimes	Jenessa Lund, Advisor (non-voting)
	\boxtimes	Shawna Young, Dean (voting)			Michael Le, Advisor (non-voting)
	\boxtimes	Shahrooz Roohparvar, VP (voting)		\boxtimes	Brigid Wall, Notes (non-voting)
	\boxtimes	Jason Meriwether, VP (voting)			Patrick Orona, Notes (non-voting)
		Proxy: Kevin Furtado			
		Frank Whitlatch, VP (voting)			

Additional Attendees: Patrick Malloy (virtual)

Meeting Minutes:

- 1. Approve Minutes from 01/21/22: M/S (Anthony/Jim) Approved without alterations
- 2. Finalize Roll Forward Guidelines (10 minutes)
 - a. Final draft of the University Wide Roll Forward Guidelines was reviewed.
 - i. Discussion on the percentage split for university wide distributions, increased discretion of divisions, and opportunities for strategic investments.
 - Action Item: M/S (Shahrooz/Kevin) Motion to forward final draft of revised University Wide Roll Forward Guidelines to Senate for consideration and then forward to the President for approval.
 - i. Passed without dissent.
 - 1. Ayes: 5
 - 2. Nays: none
 - 3. Abstentions: 3
- 3. Enrollment Growth Funding Model (40 minutes)
 - a. 2014-15 URPC Enrollment Growth funding model was shared with the group
 - i. Model was based on marginal cost of instruction and leveraged FIRMS codes to distribute additional funding by division. One time funding was used to support initial growth. Once the growth stabilized and sustained, base funding was allocated. When the university experienced significant decline in enrollment, the methodology used was shifted to reduce the impact to instruction.

- b. Robust committee discussion on current enrollment numbers, enrollment growth, our CSU Resident FTES target of 7,603 FTES, base budget vs. one-time investments, and how to build out the budget planning process.
- 4. Polytech Revised Financial Plan Update (10 minutes)
 - a. As part of the Polytech Budget Forum, the working group solicited questions about the poly financial plan and associated allocations. The group received over 50 questions from the campus community and are strategizing how to best answer the questions and the best mechanism to do that.
 - b. The <u>Polytech Transition \$25 Million Spending Plan Summary (Year 2)</u> has not changed from the information shared at URPC's last meeting on January 21st.
 - c. The year 2 request for funding from the one-time \$433 million in capital funding has been submitted to the CO. The year 2 request for \$30 million was made to continue to move the infrastructure projects forward. (Year 1 amount was \$50 million.)
 - d. <u>Polytechnic</u> website has information related to <u>planning updates</u>, <u>infrastructure</u> <u>projects</u>, <u>academic programming build out and branding initiative</u>.
- 5. Enrollment & Revenue Projections (15 minutes)
 - a. Enrollment projections for the 2022-23 budget planning scenario and 2021-22 budget are within 10 students: 5,061 total FTES for 2021-22 Budget and we are projecting 5,071 total FTES for 2022-23 budget planning. Anticipating some additional tuition revenue due to projected changes in the FTES mix (Resident, WUE, Out-of-State, & International) but from a budget planning standpoint, looking to be essentially flat from year to year.