UNIVERSITY RESOURCES & PLANNING COMMITTEE November 15, 2019

1:00 PM - 2:30 PM (CCR)

2019-20 URPC:

\boxtimes	Alex Enyedi, Co-Chair (non-voting)		Yadira Cruz, Student (voting)
			Proxy: Jeremiah Finley
\boxtimes	James Woglom, Co-Chair (tie break vote)	\boxtimes	Joey Reed, Student (voting)
	Kerri Malloy, Faculty (voting)	\boxtimes	Amber Blakeslee, Advisor (non-voting)
\boxtimes	Monty Mola, Faculty (voting)	\boxtimes	Kevin Furtado, Advisor (non-voting)
	Tyler Stumpf, Faculty (voting)	\boxtimes	Holly Martel, Advisor (non-voting)
\boxtimes	Anthony Baker, Staff (voting)		Jamie Rich, Advisor (non-voting)
	Alex Gradine, Staff (voting)	\boxtimes	Lisa Castellino, Advisor (non-voting)
\boxtimes	Shawna Young, Dean (voting)	\boxtimes	Jenessa Lund, Advisor (non-voting)
	Douglas Dawes, VP (voting)	\boxtimes	Brigid Wall, Notes (non-voting)
\boxtimes	Jason Meriwether, VP (voting)		
\boxtimes	Frank Whitlatch, VP (voting)		

Agenda:

- Opening Co-Chair Comments
 - Requested the committee be mindful of the upcoming deadline and that the data analysis/model scenario development be the focus of today's meeting.
- Approval of Meeting Minutes
 - Minutes for November 1st Meeting M/S (Monty/Anthony): Approved
 - o Minutes for November 8th Meeting M/S (Joey/Anthony): Approved
- Budget Model Scenarios Development (75 Minutes)
 - o Interactive Model Scenarios for planning purposes only
 - Summary tab, plus a tab for each option
 - Four initial options plus working
 - 2019-20 Budget Distribution by FIRMS Code FIRMS distributions would remain the same as current year budget distribution
 - Marginal Cost of Instruction based on CSU Support Budget Request and would result in larger reductions in Instruction
 - Distribution incorporating Balancing Act simulation submissions
 - Distribution incorporating live polling results from November 7th presentation
 - Committee discussion on the similarities and differences between the four initial options and the potential reduction amounts of each.
 - Committee members should play around with working scenario
 - o A 5% reduction scenario was created
 - o ACTION Pick Three % Distribution Scenarios for Consideration and VP Feedback

Based on four initial scenarios and the working scenario created, a range of potential reduction amounts was developed for each division. The committee determined that this range would be provided to VPs for consideration with a request for high level feedback on potential impacts that would need to be implemented to achieve the high and low reductions of each range.

Next Steps

- Assignment: Provide VPs with three scenarios for consideration with the request for each VP to provide high level feedback on potential impacts of each scenario at the Nov 22nd URPC meeting (next week) - See action item above for VP assignment
- November 22 Agenda Items:
 - Review First Quarter Reports and Projected Year-End Balances
 - VPs Input on Impacts of Scenarios Under Consideration