## UNIVERSITY RESOURCES & PLANNING COMMITTEE April 24, 2020 1:00 PM – 2:30 PM

## 2019-20 URPC:

$\boxtimes$	Lisa Bond-Maupin, Co-Chair (non-voting)	$\boxtimes$	Jeremiah Finley, Student (voting)
$\boxtimes$	James Woglom, Co-Chair (tie break vote)		Joey Reed, Student (voting)
$\boxtimes$	Kerri Malloy, Faculty (voting)	$\boxtimes$	Amber Blakeslee, Advisor (non-voting)
$\boxtimes$	Monty Mola, Faculty (voting)	$\boxtimes$	Kevin Furtado, Advisor (non-voting)
	Vacant, Faculty (voting)	$\boxtimes$	Holly Martel, Advisor (non-voting)
$\boxtimes$	Anthony Baker, Staff (voting)	$\boxtimes$	Jamie Rich, Advisor (non-voting)
	Xandra Gradine, Staff (voting)	$\boxtimes$	Lisa Castellino, Advisor (non-voting)
$\boxtimes$	Shawna Young, Dean (voting)		Jenessa Lund, Advisor (non-voting)
$\boxtimes$	Douglas Dawes, VP (voting)	$\boxtimes$	Brigid Wall, Notes (non-voting)
$\boxtimes$	Jason Meriwether, VP (voting)		
$\boxtimes$	Frank Whitlatch, VP (voting)		

## Meeting Minutes:

- Co-chairs comments
  - We are asking so much of people right now and want to acknowledge that work and celebrate it when the appropriate time comes.
- Approval of Meeting Minutes for April 10th
  - M/S (Kerri/Anthony) Approved
- Five-year budget planning scenarios and five-year fee revenue projections
  - Will be shared with University Senate
  - o <u>Sustainable Budget Planning Detail for FY20-21</u>
    - Assumptions: 20% down in enrollment and 5% down in state appropriation
    - Projecting a gap of close to \$15 million next year and a \$20 million gap in the year two to three timeframe
    - Uncertainty at the state level and we are being asked by the system to look at scenarios of 0%, 2.5%, 5% reductions in state appropriation
      - Budget Planning Scenarios Deficit Ranges
        - Summarized by enrollment and state appropriation reductions scenarios for the five year planning horizon
        - Fields highlighted in red are when projection exceeds the \$20 million reduction amount
    - Committee discussion of additional scenarios to consider, interactive tools, target reduction amounts, one time bridge funding and identifying information to share with University Senate and campus.
  - <u>Campus Based Fees (Category 2) Revenue Scenarios</u> Summary of all Category 2 fees
    - Category 2 Fees are campus mandatory fees, directly dependent on enrollment, and support a number of programs and activities
      - Collectively down over \$2 million

- <u>Campus Based Fees (Category 2) Revenue Scenarios</u> Scenarios by broken out by each fee
- Fiscal Stability Plan (please review and add comments in shared google doc)
  - Reactions and feedback
    - Committee reviewed the updates made based on feedback provided in shared Google doc and discussed any additional thoughts or comments.
- Response to University Senate's questions (shared as a google doc)
  - Many of the questions addressed in the presentations and discussion in today's meetings - Some of these pieces are unknown at this time.
  - Amber will present budget planning information to University Senate
  - o VPs will talk about strategies being implemented with University Senate
- Transparent divisional reporting Item was briefly discussed due to limited time remaining.
  - An outline of the information to be shared with University Senate and responsible parties will be drafted and committee should look for communication via email